About CLES

The Centre for Local Economic Strategies (CLES) is a not-for-profit think-tank, consultancy and network of subscribing organisations specialising in regeneration, local economic development and local governance, which was founded in 1986.

Effective research methods are the tools by which information is gathered. Without the appropriate design and use of research methods, we are unlikely to gather quality information and as such create a shaky foundation to any review, evaluation or future strategy. For CLES, the group of research methods contained within this handbook are the tool box, and like any tools need to be used in the right way - for the right job. Research methods, if understood and used appropriately can make your job a lot easier. At CLES we use a range of research methods in our policy and consultancy work and are skilled in using them. However, we do not believe that the use of research methods is the preserve of so called ‘experts’ and in all instances requires sophisticated knowledge and practice. Clearly, to be experts in their use, requires practice, but like any tool, the basic principle behind its use can be understood and applied, by all. Furthermore, for those who have no intention of actually using a research method, it is important, perhaps in your work in overseeing or commissioning research activity, to know what the purpose of particular research methods are. This enables you to assess the appropriateness of their use.

This handbook, therefore attempts on the one hand to explain and demystify the world of research methods, whilst on the other it seeks to provide a starting point for their use. In this, we are not suggesting that using research methods is easy, but merely that it can be appreciated and undertaken by practitioners and non-research experts. We hope you enjoy this handbook which is linked to our annual training programme. This handbook, reflects our wider charitable aim to develop and improve the performance of the regeneration and local economic development sector. In this, we hope this handbook goes some way in helping to address some of the persistent issues faced by local places and communities and contributes to ensuring positive local change.

Neil McInroy
Chief Executive, Centre for Local Economic Strategies.
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We are all “users” of social research. How we apprehend and absorb information and use our critical faculties feeds what we believe about the social world.

From surveys reported in the media to market research, opinion polling and large scale datasets such as the British Crime Survey. Social Research Methods are the tools used to explain social phenomena and often it is more possible to challenge conclusions if you are at least conversant with the variety of methodologies and tools applied.

What is this book about?
This book provides an introduction to the reader to a whole range of research methods. It aims to introduce a toolkit of methods, explaining how to use them, their appropriateness and some of the pitfalls of using them in practice.

The sections explain in turn:

• What is the method?
• When should it be used?
• What do I need to consider?
• What is the output?
• How should it be analysed?
• Examples from practice
• Pros/Cons
• Further Reading

There is also a glossary of technical terms at the back of the book.

Who should use this book?
This handbook will be useful for those working in local authorities, regeneration partnerships or other public agencies where research is conducted and commissioned. It can help in undertaking research in the selection of appropriate methods, making decisions about the mix of methods, and the planning of a research strategy where it is necessary to make explicit judgements about a hierarchy of evidence, the weight afforded to the various elements, and how they might combine to give a rounded perspective. It can also help in understanding research proposals, and the methodologies presented to address a particular research question.
Types of method

In any form of research, you will be required to either count things and/or talk to people. We can broadly classify research methods using this distinction. These two types of research method and their output data are classified as:

**Quantitative** - as the name suggests, is concerned with trying to quantify things; it asks questions such as 'how long', 'how many' or 'the degree to which'. Quantitative methods look to quantify data and generalise results from a sample of the population of interest. They may look to measure the incidence of various views and opinions in a chosen sample for example or aggregate results.

**Qualitative** – concerned with a quality of information, qualitative methods attempt to gain an understanding of the underlying reasons and motivations for actions and establish how people interpret their experiences and the world around them. Qualitative methods provide insights into the setting of a problem, generating ideas and/or hypotheses.

The following table provides a breakdown of the key features of each of these categorisation of research method and data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of method</th>
<th>Quantitative</th>
<th>Qualitative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aim</td>
<td>The aim is to count things in an attempt to explain what is observed.</td>
<td>The aim is a complete, detailed description of what is observed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Generalisability, prediction, causal explanations</td>
<td>Contextualisation, interpretation, understanding perspectives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tools</td>
<td>Researcher uses tools, such as surveys, to collect numerical data.</td>
<td>Researcher is the data gathering instrument.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data collection</td>
<td>Structured</td>
<td>Unstructured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output</td>
<td>Data is in the form of numbers and statistics.</td>
<td>Data is in the form of words, pictures or objects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Usually a large number of cases representing the population of interest. Randomly selected respondents</td>
<td>Usually a small number of non-representative cases. Respondents selected on their experience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective/Subjective</td>
<td>Objective – seeks precise measurement &amp; analysis</td>
<td>Subjective - individuals’ interpretation of events is important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researcher role</td>
<td>Researcher tends to remain objectively separated from the subject matter.</td>
<td>Researcher tends to become subjectively immersed in the subject matter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis</td>
<td>Statistical</td>
<td>Interpretive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Quantitative methods are research techniques that are used to gather quantitative data, data that can be sorted, classified, measured. This following section outlines the core quantitative research methods used in social research.

### Quantitative survey

#### What is the method?
Surveys are a popular method of collecting primary data. The broad area of survey research encompasses any measurement procedures that involve asking questions of respondents. They are a flexible tool, which can produce both qualitative and quantitative information depending on how they are structured and analysed. In this section we focus on the quantitative use of surveys, and in later sections we explore the more qualitative use of survey methods.

#### When should it be used?
When you need to generate primary data from a large number of sources to answer your research question. Surveys are a useful a means of gathering data from businesses, community organisations and residents, and survey research is one of the most important areas of measurement in applied social research. However, health warnings need to be attached to the use of quantitative surveys and careful consideration needs to be taken before embarking on any large-scale survey.

#### What do I need to consider?
In undertaking a survey it is important to understand who you want to survey, how you are going to select them, how you are going to survey them, what you want to ask them and how you are going to organise the task. The following section outlines some key considerations that need to be made before embarking on a large-scale survey.

**Population** – A number of questions about the proposed population for a survey need to be considered. Such as:

1. How many roads must a man walk down?
   a) less than 10
   b) 10 to 20
   c) more than 20
   d) don’t know
Can the population be counted? Some populations will be easy to count, in a given geographical area there will be secondary data sources that will give you a population count (Census), in a membership organisation there may be a list of all members, however in a newly arrived ethnic community such as the recent arrivals of Polish and Eastern European communities there is less chance that you can obtain a reliable count of the population. A bias in your survey results can occur if the survey sample does not accurately represent the population. Having a count of the population is also important in order to establish the significance of your results to allow a generalisation to the population as a whole.

Are there language issues? Respondents may have varying capacities for being able to complete written surveys or questionnaires. While telephone and street surveys do not require the respondent to be able to read or write in English, postal surveys involve respondents completing the survey or questionnaire themselves. You should consider the offer of help in self-administered surveys for respondents to complete a form either in person or over the telephone, this will help address potential language or basic skills issues. If surveying an ethnic minority population you may wish to translate questionnaires into community languages, or have people who speak the communities’ language to assist where necessary.

What are the geographic restrictions? The geographic spread of the population to be surveyed will determine the method used for collecting your data. If you are surveying people from a particular location or organisation it may be possible to conduct a survey using an interviewer, however if you have a population sample that is geographically dispersed then you would look to use a different method, such as a telephone or postal survey.

Sampling
The sample is the section of the wider population that will be engaged in the survey and sampling is the process of identifying who you will aim to contact from that population. The word ‘population’ is used to describe the target group, and while this may be the national population as a whole, it may also be a smaller group such as lone parents, or business members of a Chambers of Commerce in a particular location. Detailed consideration of sampling needs to be made to ensure the validity of your results, and the following issues need consideration:

Who is the respondent? The first thing you need to understand is who your respondent is going to be. This is the person that will provide the data you are asking for. If the survey is distributed amongst households, who in particular will be filling in the survey? Do you want to specify who the survey is to be completed by? And do you understand why you are specifying this person? The same is true when surveying organisations or groups. A survey will have much greater success if it is directed to the right respondent. Identifying the person best suited to completing a survey will help to increase the response rate and generate more accurate data.

What is your sampling frame? A sampling frame is a list of members of a population from which members of a sample are then selected. A sampling frame needs to be accurate, complete, up-to-date and relevant to the purposes of the survey for which it is to be used. Once you have an established sampling frame, depending on its size you may need to adopt a sampling technique to extract your final sample. For example random sampling, simple random sampling or stratified sampling (see further reading for more details on sampling techniques).

Are response rates likely to be a problem? With any survey, you need to look at the profile of the people who did respond and satisfy yourself that they are about the same as the people who didn’t respond – and also, that they’re about the same as the overall population that you’re sampling. If you send out a survey to a population, which is 50% male, and 50% female, but your responses are 80% from females then your findings will not represent your target population. Response rates can be low for surveys, under 20% for a postal survey is not uncommon. However, all the considerations in this section can help to improve your response rate.

Statistical significance: Understanding your population, sample size, and response rates are important for calculating
interval and confidence levels, which are vital in determining how many people you need to interview in order to get results that reflect the target population as precisely as needed. You can use online calculators to establish this type of information, but it is important to understand the terms and the reasons for doing this (see section on statistical analysis for more detail).

**Format**

It is important to understand what format of survey you are looking to undertake. There are broadly two survey formats that you may use and it is important to understand which you are using:

- **Cross-sectional surveys** are used to gather information on a population at a single point in time. An example of a cross-sectional survey would be a questionnaire that collects data on peoples’ experiences of a particular initiative or event. A cross-sectional survey questionnaire might try to determine the relationship between two factors, like the impact of a programme of activity on the level of benefits claims for example.

- **Longitudinal surveys** gather data over a period of time. This would allow analysis of changes in the population over time and attempt to describe and/or explain them. The three main types of longitudinal surveys are trend studies, cohort studies, and panel studies (for more details see further reading). A longitudinal study will also seek to determine the relationship between factors, but the difference is that the examination will be of a change in factors over time, so for example the relationship between health and employment.

**Questions**

There are a whole range of questions to be asked in survey design, such as: What types of questions can be asked? How complex will/can the questions be? Will screening questions be needed? Can question sequence be controlled? Will lengthy questions be asked? Will long response scales be used? Here we outline the main types of questions used in quantitative surveys:

- **Closed questions** – these have a number of possible answers in a list for respondents to choose from (e.g. a closed question about the sources of funding for a community project would ask respondents to choose from a list of categories, such as New Deal for Communities, Neighbourhood Renewal Funding and so on). Usually, closed questions include an ‘other’ option to enable respondents to add any categories that have been omitted;

- **Ranking scales** – these are most commonly used when trying to ascertain the level of importance of a number of items. A list of choices are provided and respondents are asked to put them in order (e.g. when undertaking a feasibility study for a new town centre, a question using a ranking scale may show a list of items that are commonly found in town centres and ask respondents to rank which ones are most important to them);

- **Sliding scales** – these are used to discover respondents’ strength of feeling towards an issue. Respondents are given a series of statements and asked how much they agree or disagree with the statement by using a sliding scale where numbers represent different strengths of feelings. For example, 1 = strongly agree and 5 = strongly disagree.

**Write questions that are clear, precise, and relatively short**

Because every question is measuring something, it is important for each to be clear and precise. Your goal is for each respondent to interpret the meaning of each survey question in exactly the same way. If your respondents are not clear on what is being asked in a question, their responses may result in data that cannot or should not be applied in your survey findings.

**Do not use “loaded” or “leading” questions**

A loaded or leading question biases the response given by the respondent. A loaded question is one that contains loaded words. Loaded or leading questions may hint to the respondent how you expect the question answered, for example ‘Do you think your neighbourhood is still run down?’, by including the word ‘still’ a bias is introduced as it presupposes that the respondent thought the area was previously run down.

Ambiguous or compound questions can be confusing, leaving respondents unsure as to how to answer. Compound questions are ones that ask several things which might require different answers, for example ‘Would you like to see more community support officers on the streets,
allowing a reduction in investment in CCTV? The respondent may wish to provide multiple answers to this question, answering yes to having more community support officers, but disagreeing with the reduction in investment for CCTV. See the section on further reading for more information on question types and constructing survey questions.

Administration
The costs, required facilities, time, and personnel needed to conduct an effective survey are often underestimated. The most common resource underestimated is time. You need to factor in time to pilot or test your survey, time to deliver your survey, time to give respondents to complete surveys and then have them returned (this may be via mail and therefore take time to return), and you also need to factor in the time required to analyse surveys. When conducting a large scale survey, inputting data to generate your analysis can be very time consuming. The best approach is to often work up your timeline backwards from when you need your results, calculating the time required for each step, this way you can establish when things need to start by.

How should it be used?
Selecting the type of survey you are going to use is one of the most critical decisions in many social research contexts. In a similar way to interviews, surveys can be delivered in a variety of ways:

- postal surveys;
- telephone surveys;
- email/internet surveys;
- street surveys/administered surveys.

The delivery method for any survey should be carefully considered, and in many ways will be decided by consideration of factors listed above, such as population, sample size and respondent. Having a good understanding of these will inform the best method of delivery. For example, if the survey is to be distributed to a particular local authority officer role across the country, then a postal or email survey would work best, as it is likely there will be over 350 in the population, geographically dispersed and literate.

It is vitally important to conduct a trial run or pilot of any survey, as those that have designed a survey and are close to its subject, may take for granted that the questions and layout will work as a survey with the wider intended population. A survey may be piloted with colleagues or friends that have the same level of involvement in the subject you are surveying as the wider intended population. Feedback should be sought on the ease upon which the survey can be followed and completed. A pilot survey may also be conducted with a subset of the selected sample. This would give opportunities to detect and resolve problems before they obscure or distort the result of the wider survey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Postal</td>
<td>Can reach a large geographical area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>People are used to completing paper-and-pencil surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can take the survey with you and complete it anywhere and anytime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Great for sensitive issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone/administered</td>
<td>Information is obtained immediately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can explore answers with respondents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>email/internet</td>
<td>Negligible distribution costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Only “acceptable” answers can be allowed (validation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Require the question to be answered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can give respondent links that give additional explanation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What is the output?
Survey data is the question answers, such as ‘yes’ or ‘no’ or perhaps a number, where a person has ranked a question on a scale. The survey data output will depend on the way in which the survey was constructed, it will be shaped by the survey questions asked, the format of the survey itself and the method in which data was collected. For example, if the survey was completed by the respondent, in a written form, then you will have a collection of written documents which require analysis of the question answers. If the survey has been completed by a researcher, then a more sophisticated method of data collection may have occurred e.g. tallies and counts of responses. If using an internet or email survey, a computer programme may have collected the data in a format which can easily be analysed. Consideration of the output needs to be made at the outset of the process, and time considerations need to be given as to how this data will be collected and analysed.

How should it be analysed?
Before you can input your data in a computer program or application you will need to undertake a process of coding. This involves assigning a code (often numeric) to each possible answer in your survey. So if question 1 in your survey asked the gender of the respondent, you may seek to code the answer ‘male’ with the number 0, while you may seek to code the answer ‘female’ with the number 1. Establishing these ‘codes’ on the distributed questionnaire can help at data entry time, but obviously has the downside of putting numbers on the questionnaire that are of no relevance to the respondent and therefore could make the questionnaire look more confusing than it needs to.

Web based programmes
Internet based survey tools can distribute your survey via email and also collect your results, often allowing you to view your results as they are collected in real-time. You can download live graphs and charts of the responses, and often filter the responses and dig down to get individual responses. While this offers significant benefits there needs to be careful consideration of the pros and cons of email or internet surveys and whether this method of collection suits the population you are targeting.
**Secondary data collation and analysis**

**What is the method?**
This method refers to the review of existing information, and in the quantitative context may involve the manipulation of statistical data. It differs from primary research techniques in that the researcher does not collect the data directly and cannot control the actual data collected, but can bring to bear new insights through interpretation or presentation. Managing large data sets and large amounts of quantitative material does require some specialist skill. The Policy Action Team Reports in the early Blair Administration described the lack of availability of relevant datasets in order to support neighbourhood working, and over the last decade more statistics have been made more readily accessible to a wider range of people.

**When should it be used?**
The collection of secondary data can be an important first stage. The main use for this sort of information is that it can provide a starting point for an evaluation or analysis to gain some background knowledge and understanding. Secondary data collection is also useful for contributing to the analysis and commentary throughout a research report.

**What do I need to consider?**

**What types of data sources are there?**
There are a number of different types of secondary information. Some of the most common types are identified as follows:

- **Official statistics** - This refers to national data sets relating to issues such as population, employment and unemployment and businesses. Much of this information can be acquired from the Office for National Statistics and www.neighbourhood.statistics.gov.uk;

- **Other statistics** - A wide range of other types of numerical data can be drawn on for evaluation purposes. e.g. project monitoring information of beneficiaries, funding information, service data.

**Key principles**
There are a number of key principles it is useful to follow when collecting and analysing secondary information.

1. Think about the key issues and topics that need to be addressed. Having a clear idea of what information is required will make the collection of secondary information a lot easier;
2. Search for the information and data sources;
3. Having collected the information, the next step is to read it and analyse it;
4. Collate information from secondary data into key headings.

**Referencing**
A key issue when using secondary data is ensuring that all information is properly referenced and that it is clear where the information has come from. You must be very careful that comparisons are genuine and meaningful.

**What is the output?**
The information gathered from secondary data analysis can produce various outputs depending on the type of information collated and reviewed. Some of the most common types include statistics, data tables and charts and maps.

The information may show how changes have occurred over time in a particular area. It could also be comparative, which allows the researcher to make comparisons between a number of different areas.

**How should it be analysed?**
Secondary data can be analysed using the same techniques as for primary data. See the following section on statistical analysis for more details.
Case study: Using secondary data to create a baseline

Baseline assessments refer to a number of headline indicators or statistics for a specific area at a particular moment in time e.g. the percentage of unemployed economically active males in Newcastle-upon-Tyne in 2002. Baselines are particularly useful when measuring the impact of a regeneration project or programme, as by knowing what the area was like before it commenced, it enables an evaluator to gauge the extent the project/programme has changed an area.

Working with baseline data relating to local social, economic, cultural and environmental conditions is a core feature in many policy interventions. In developing and updating a baseline, you may need to:

- access secondary data (as detailed above) for establishing and updating baselines;
- develop baselines retrospectively. This entails deciding key indicators and collecting data for a period of time in the past (e.g. the start of a regeneration programme);
- revise existing baseline indicators to ensure they reflect local priorities and are SMART;
- recommend new baseline indicators where gaps exist and devising entirely new baseline assessments where one exist;
- review and aligning baseline indicators with those used nationally (e.g. Quality of Life indicators, Best Value Performance Indicators, Public Service Agreement (PSA) indicators and National Floor Target indicators) whilst maintaining a local focus;
- identify the best sources of data, frequency of updates and lead responsibilities for collection to aid future baseline updates;
- collect and collate primary (e.g. survey) data to inform the baseline assessment.

Pros | Cons
---|---
Robust, accurate data enabling comparison across time/area | Health warnings around use of statistics – need to make sure of appropriateness in context
Very credible and clear picture can emerge when presented well | Using only national statistics can suggest overly clear picture – reality is often messier.
As part of mixed method strategy can provide “bedrock” for field of enquiry and suggest other appropriate techniques/interventions | You have no direct control over what is collected, only how it is presented and manipulated.

Further reading

How official statistics are collected has improved a lot in recent years, the [neighbourhood statistics](http://www.neighbourhood.statistics.gov.uk/) website is very user-friendly and uses maps, graphs and tables for a wide range of official statistics, presenting data at many scales, from the smallest unit of data collection; the Super Output Area (population 1000-1500) to Parliamentary Constituency level, Local Authority level or regional Government Office Area.

The [Office for National Statistics](http://www.ons.gov.uk) website is also excellent and contains all census and official data as well as population projections and a wealth of data on the economy.

[Oxford Consultants for Social Inclusion](http://www.ocsi.org.uk/) are experts in manipulating datasets in order that they can help to inform decision making. They specialise in map data.
Statistical analysis

What is the method?
Statistical analysis is a mathematical method of interrogating data. This is done by looking for relationships between different sets of data. Statistical analysis can be complex, and this following section aims to explain some of the basic considerations, to an audience without an assumed mathematical background. At the end of this section there are a wide variety of links to further reading, which can help you through the process of statistical analysis.

There are two types of statistics:
- Descriptive statistics: numerical summaries of samples (what was observed);
- Inferential statistics: from samples of populations (what could have been or will be observed).

It is important to understand which type of statistics you are working with before embarking on analysis.

When should it be used?
The general idea of statistical analysis is to summarise and analyse data so that it is useful and can inform decision-making. You would analyse descriptive statistics if you wanted to summarise some data into a shorter form, whereas inferential statistical analysis when you were trying to understand a relationship and either generalise or predict based on this understanding. Statistical analysis, through a range of statistical tests, can give us a way to quantify the confidence we can have in our inferences or conclusions.

Statistical analysis should only be used where there is a clear understanding of the reasons for doing so. The use of statistical tests (as detailed above) will provide you with valuable findings if you know how to interpret the results and use them to inform your research.

What do I need to consider?

Variables
A variable is any measured characteristic or attribute that differs for different subjects. Quantitative variables are measured on an ordinal, interval, or ratio scale, whereas qualitative variables are measured on a nominal scale (note in SPSS the Interval and Ratio levels are grouped together and called scale). There are a range of variables that need to be understood, dependent/independent, controlled/continuous/discrete in the application of statistical tests. The independent variable answers the question “What do I change?”, the dependent variable answers the question “What do I observe?” and the controlled variable answers the question “What do I keep the same?”.

Variables which can have any numerical value is called a continuous variable (e.g. time). A variable which can only have whole numbers (integers) is called a discrete variable (e.g. the number of people in a group). It is important to understand the variable you have for analysis of data in statistical packages such as SPSS.

Inference
If working with inferential statistics you need a sound understanding of your population (the set of individuals, items, or data, also called universe) and your sample (a subset of elements taken from a population). See the section on quantitative surveys for further discussion on populations and samples. We make inferences (conclusions) about a population from a sample taken from it, therefore it is important that population and sampling is well understood, as any error will influence your inferences (conclusions). In some situations we can examine the entire population, then there is no inference from a sample.

Confidence & Significance

- **The confidence interval** is an interval estimate of a population parameter, this is the plus-or-minus figure reported in, for example, newspaper or television opinion poll results. If you use a confidence interval of 4 for example, and 54% percent of your sample picks one answer, you can be “sure” that if you had asked the question of the entire relevant population, between 50% and 58% would have picked that answer (plus or minus 4). There are three factors that determine the size of the confidence
interval for a given confidence level. These are: sample size, percentage and population size (see below).

- **The confidence level** tells you how sure you can be that this inference is correct. Most social science researchers use the 95% confidence level, which means you can be 95% certain; while the 99% confidence level means you can be 99% certain. When you apply the confidence level and the confidence interval together, you could say that you are 95% sure that between 50% and 58% would have picked that answer.

In statistics, a result is called statistically significant if it is unlikely to have occurred by chance. In statistics, “significant” means probably true, and not ‘important’. The findings of your research may be proved to be ‘true’ but this does not necessarily mean that the findings are ‘important’. In social science, results with a 95% confidence level are accepted as significant.

**Factors that affect the confidence interval**
The confidence interval is affected by three factors. These are the sample size, percentage and population size.

**Sample Size**
The larger your sample, the more confident you can be that their answers truly reflect the population. The relationship between the confidence interval and sample size is not linear. An example can be found below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Survey 1</th>
<th>Survey 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of respondents answering 'yes' to a specific question</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence Interval</td>
<td>+/-3.02</td>
<td>+/-2.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Percentage**
The confidence interval is also determined by the percentage of the sample that provides the same answer. The confidence interval increases the closer the percentage is to 50%. In survey 1 (above) the confidence interval for a value of 50% is 3.02. This confidence interval would fall to 0.6 if the survey returned a value of 99% or 1%.

It is important that the survey sample size is considered for statistics where 50% of the population answer both ‘yes’ and ‘no’ as this is when the confidence level is broadest and so provides the general level of accuracy for a sample.

**Population Size**
The population size refers to the number of people within a group that have a similar characteristic. This could be the total number of people living in a town or the number of people with a more specific attribute such as suffering from a disability or residents from a specific ethnic group. Population size is of greatest importance when the population is relatively small and is known.

**Examples**

**Confidence** A survey of 1,000 households has been completed, in a town of 20,000 households. 54% of households felt that crime had the largest impact on their quality of life. Using a 95% confidence level a confidence interval of 3.01 can be assumed. So you can say that between 51% and 57% of the town’s population feel the crime has the largest impact on quality of life.

**Significance** A survey is distributed to all 20,000 households in a town, there are 1,000 responses to the survey, equal to a 5% response. In accepting an interval level of 3, the sample size needed for significant results at the 95% confidence level is 1013, therefore the response rate is just short of significance at the 95% level.

**The significance of change over time in survey findings**
In measuring the confidence interval of survey data when survey results are compared over time, it is important to understand if, for example, economic activity has changed over time or if the change in results is caused by survey error. To understand whether actual change has taken place, this requires the confidence interval of the difference between the two means to be tested (see further reading for a link to a web tool for measuring the confidence interval between two means).
Example

Survey 1 finds that economic activity stands at 49% using a sample of 1,000 residents. Another sample is selected one year later. Survey 2 finds that 51% of residents are economically active. In this case the 95% confidence interval is from -0.05 to 0.03 meaning that we cannot be sure whether the economic activity rates have actually increased or whether this is a result of survey error. This is because the 95% confidence interval has values which are either side of zero.

If economic activity increases to 55%, the 95% confidence interval is from -0.09 to -0.01 meaning we can be 95% confident that economic activity has actually increased.

Considerations: Both surveys must be based on a sample that is representative of the population. The sample used in survey 2 also needs to be independent from the sample used in survey 1.

Cross-tabulation

Cross-tabulation is about taking two variables and tabulating the results of one variable against the other variable. This can be done quite simply in data analysis tools such as Microsoft Excel or SPSS. A cross-tabulation gives you a basic picture of how two variables inter-relate, so for example you may have a question in your survey about employment, by running a cross tabulation of the survey data obtained for this question against that of age or gender for example (or both), would give you a table showing the employment status of both males and females, broken down by the age ranges you coded in your survey. This can provide quite powerful levels of information and is a useful way of testing the relationships between variables.

Statistical tests

Cross-tabulation is about taking two variables and tabulating the results of one variable against the other variable. This can be done quite simply in data analysis tools such as Microsoft Excel or SPSS. A cross-tabulation gives you a basic picture of how two variables inter-relate, so for example you may have a question in your survey about employment, by running a cross tabulation of the survey data obtained for this question against that of age or gender for example (or both), would give you a table showing the employment status of both males and females, broken down by the age ranges you coded in your survey. This can provide quite powerful levels of information and is a useful way of testing the relationships between variables.

For more complex statistical analysis there are a range of statistical tests that can be applied to your data. To select the right test, you need to ask yourself two questions:

1. What kind of data have you collected?
2. What variables are you looking to establish a relationship between?

Choosing the right test to compare measurements can be a tricky one, as you must choose between two families of tests: parametric and non-parametric:

- Parametric tests – include Mean, Standard Deviation, t test, analysis of variance (ANOVA), Pearson correlation, regression (linear and non linear);
- Non-parametric tests – include Median, interquartile range, Spearman correlation, Wilcoxon test, Mann-Whitney test, Kruskal-Wallis test, Friedman test.

Choosing the right test

Choosing between these two families of tests can be difficult. The following section outlines some of the basic rules for deciding which family of tests suits your data.

- You should choose a parametric test if your data is sampled from a population that follows a normal distribution (or Gaussian distribution). The normal distribution is a pattern for the distribution of a set of data, which follows a bell shaped curve. This means that the data has less of a tendency to produce unusually extreme values, compared to some other distributions.
- You should choose a non-parametric test if the population clearly does not follow a normal distribution. Where values may be "off the scale," that is, too high or too low to measure, a non-parametric test can assign values too low or too high to measure.

What do these tests tell you?

Parametric tests

Mean - The mean is more commonly called the average, however this is incorrect if "mean" is taken in the specific sense of "arithmetic mean" as there are different types of averages: the mean, median, and mode.

Standard Deviation - The standard deviation measures the spread of the data about the mean value. It is useful in comparing sets of data, which may have the same mean but a different range.

t test - The t-test assesses whether the means of two groups are statistically different from each other. This analysis is appropriate whenever you want to compare the means of two groups.
Analysis of variance (ANOVA) – This is used to test hypotheses about differences between two or more means as in the t-test, however when there are more than two means, analysis of variance can be used to test differences for significance without increasing the error rate (Type I).

Pearson correlation – This is a common measure of the correlation between two variables. A correlation of +1 means that there is a perfect positive linear relationship between variables. A correlation of -1 means that there is a perfect negative linear relationship between variables.

Regression (linear and non linear) - A technique used for the modelling and analysis of numerical data. Regression can be used for prediction (including forecasting of time-series data), inference, hypothesis testing, and modelling of causal relationships.

Non-parametric tests
- Median - The median is the middle of a distribution: half the scores are above the median and half are below the median. The median is less sensitive to extreme scores than the mean and this makes it a better measure than the mean for highly skewed distributions. The median income is usually more informative than the mean income for example.
- Interquartile range - The interquartile range (IQR) is the distance between the 75th percentile and the 25th percentile. The IQR is essentially the range of the middle 50% of the data. Because it uses the middle 50%, the IQR is not affected by outliers or extreme values.
- Spearman correlation - Spearman’s Rank Correlation is a technique used to test the direction and strength of the relationship between two variables. In other words, it’s a device to show whether any one set of numbers has an effect on another set of numbers.
- Wilcoxon test - The Wilcoxon test compares two paired groups of data. It calculates the differences between each set of pairs, and analyses the list of differences.

Mann-Whitney test - The Mann-Whitney test is a non-parametric test for assessing whether two samples of observations come from the same distribution, testing the null hypothesis that the probability of an observation from one population exceeds the probability of an observation in a second population.

Kruskal-Wallis test - A non-parametric method for testing equality of population medians among groups, using a one-way analysis of variance by ranks.

Friedman test - The Friedman test is a nonparametric test that compares three or more paired groups.

What is the output?
The output of statistical analysis will depend on the statistical test you apply to your data, a detailed understanding of the test is required to be able to interpret the results. The output will most probably be further tables of data, with a number of things being reported. It is important to understand the information you need from a table of results, as you may only require a single figure, but be presented with a range of information which may be confusing if you are new to statistical analysis.

How should it be analysed?
Microsoft Excel
Microsoft Excel includes a collection of statistical functions, within the add-on Data Analysis ToolPak. Excel can analyse descriptive statistics at a simple level and when used effectively, can be very useful in the exploratory analysis of data, cross tabulations (pivot charts), viewing data in graphs to detect errors, unusual values, trends and patterns and summarising data with means and standard deviations. However, Excel is of very limited use in the formal statistical analysis of data unless your experimental design is very simple. The Analysis ToolPak is also no easier to use than more formal statistical packages, however there are plenty of guides and tutorials to be found on the internet.

Formal Statistical Packages (SPSS, SAS, Stata)
Inferential statistics are more often analysed in specialist statistical packages such as SPSS which provide greater functionality compared to Excel. The package used by the researcher often depends on which
package the researcher is familiar with and has access to. These formal statistical packages can summarise data (e.g. frequencies), determine whether there are significant differences between groups (e.g. t-tests, analysis of variance) and examine relationships among variables (e.g. correlation, multiple regression). Further, these packages can produce charts, graphs and tables from the results of the analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Microsoft Excel</strong></th>
<th><strong>Pros</strong></th>
<th><strong>Cons</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commonly used and widely available</td>
<td>It is not possible to see a record of the analysis you have previously conducted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy to use for basic data analysis</td>
<td>Statistical analysis is only possible if data is sorted or in blocks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy to import information from other packages.</td>
<td>Limited by space – MS Excel has a size limitation of 256 columns and over 65,500 rows meaning it has limited capacity for analysing larger datasets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creating and amending charts is simple</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Formal Statistical Packages (SPSS, SAS, Stata)</strong></th>
<th><strong>Pros</strong></th>
<th><strong>Cons</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Widely used.</td>
<td>Expensive to purchase.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More recent versions are more user friendly than earlier versions (menus to select rather than having to use syntax)</td>
<td>Need to buy add-ons to get full functionality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allows a wider range of statistics test to be conducted compared to Excel</td>
<td>Output isn’t user friendly for beginners</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy to analyse survey / questionnaire responses</td>
<td>Charts are poor quality and difficult to amend - need to copy information into Excel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File size is only dependent on your computers capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey data can be given assigned labels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is easy to analyse sub groups of a large dataset</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Further reading**

**Guide to Good Statistical Practice** - This resource is based at the Statistical Services Centre, University of Reading and consists of a series of guides on good statistical practice, intended primarily for research and support staff in development projects. Guides can be downloaded in HTML and PDF on subjects such as Data Management and Analysis. Links include: training courses and workshops; consultancy; resources (such as publications, software, external links) http://www.rdg.ac.uk/ssc/publications/guides.html

**Introduction to Central Tendency**, David Lane http://cnx.org/content/m10942/latest/ - A useful guide explaining some basics to Statistical Analysis.

**Statsoft Electronic Textbook** http://www.statsoft.com/textbook/stathome.html - This Electronic Statistics Textbook offers training in the understanding and application of statistics.

**Simple Interactive Statistical Analysis**, http://home.clara.net/sisa/ - SISA allows you to do statistical analysis directly on the Internet. User friendly guides are available for statistical procedures.

**Excel For Statistical Data Analysis**, http://home.ubalt.edu/ntsbarsh/excel/excel.htm#rintro

**Raynald’s SPSS Tools** - http://www.spstools.net/ - A website offering tools and tips for users of SPSS software, the site offers an archive of 400+ sample SPSS syntax, scripts and macros classified by purpose, as well as an FAQ, tips, tutorials and a Newbie’s Corner. It invites contributions from other SPSS users to create a shared, open-source resource.

**Choosing the correct Statistical Test** - http://www.ats.ucla.edu/STAT/mult_pkg/whatstat/default.htm

**Confidence interval between two means** - The following link provides a tool for measuring the confidence interval between two means http://psychlops.org/cgi-bin/R.cgi/binconf2.R
Qualitative methods are generally associated with the evaluation of social dimensions. Qualitative methods provide results that are usually rich and detailed, offering ideas and concepts to inform your research. Qualitative methods can tell you how people feel and what they think, but cannot tell you how many of the target population feel or think that way as quantitative methods can.

**Social survey/questionnaire**

**What is the method?**

Social surveys are a questionnaire-based method of research that can produce both qualitative and quantitative information depending on how they are structured and analysed. This section focuses on the use of surveys to collect and analyse qualitative data. Many of the issues and considerations are the same as for the quantitative use of surveys, and more detail can be found in the earlier section of this handbook.

**When should it be used?**

Questionnaire surveys can be used in a wide range of settings and to gather a variety of different types of information. You may be evaluating a programme in which a wide range of projects have been commissioned, and want to gather the views of a wide range of project managers, or you may be measuring the impact of an initiative on the business community in a specific geographical area. A small-scale qualitative survey may be conducted to explore in more detail the findings of qualitative research.

**What do I need to consider?**

Many of the considerations for a social survey are the same as for a quantitative survey, however we define a social survey as one where less statistical rigour is required, where sample sizes are not as large, and with results not expected to be significant of the wider population. A social survey may have a greater focus on collecting rich and detailed qualitative data.

**Population**

A number of questions about the proposed population for a social survey need to be considered. Such as are there language issues? And...
what are the geographic restrictions? These are the same issues as for quantitative surveys.

Sampling
The sample is the section of the wider population that will be engaged in the survey. Detailed consideration of sampling still needs to be made even when not striving for statistical significance. It is still important to understand who the respondent is and what your sampling frame is going to be.

Format
A social survey will usually be a cross-sectional survey used to gather information on a small sample population at a single point in time. An example of a cross-sectional survey would be a questionnaire that collects data on peoples’ experiences of a particular initiative. However, a qualitative survey could equally be used in a longitudinal study, perhaps returning to particular individuals over time to measure the impact of an intervention on the direction of someone’s life.

Questions
There are a whole range of questions to be asked in relation to survey design, such as: What types of questions can be asked? How complex will the questions be? Will screening questions be needed? Can question sequence be controlled? Will lengthy questions be asked? Will long response scales be used? A social survey will be more interested in qualitative findings, in recording peoples’ opinions and perceptions, and therefore will make more use of open questions where respondents can give their own responses to a set question. Open questions will begin with what, why, how, or describe, to elicit rich qualitative information.

Open questions can be used in a variety of ways:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As a follow-on from closed questions, to develop a more detailed response.</td>
<td>“If answering yes to question 7, please provide the reasons for this.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To find out more about a person, their thoughts, needs, problems, etc.</td>
<td>“Why is that so important to you?”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To get people to realise the extent of their problems.</td>
<td>“What effect does this have on your family life?”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To get people to reflect on the impact of something or some change.</td>
<td>“How has this made a difference to you?”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Administration
The costs, required facilities, time, and personnel needed to conduct an effective survey are often underestimated, even when it is not on a large scale. There should be an administrative system in place to deal with the questionnaires when they are returned/completed. This may include numbering the questionnaires, recording what action has been taken with them, entering the results into a spreadsheet/database etc.

How should it be used?
Surveys can be carried out by phone, post, email, website or face-to-face, for detailed pros and cons of these delivery methods see the earlier section on qualitative surveys. In collecting rich qualitative survey data, the most effective method would be via face to face, administered surveys, as the researcher would be able to use prompts to encourage people to give more detailed answers. This does however introduce a bias, which needs to be understood and controlled as much as possible, i.e. by using standard prompts. In qualitative surveys, it is necessary that the interviewer conduct the interview with total objectivity, so that respondents are not influenced by any outside source in their responses. For this reason, interviews should be conducted by well-trained and qualified interviewers.

What is the output?
The data that a social survey can produce is very much dependent on how the questionnaire is constructed. However, the data can be very useful for providing an overall picture of the way in which a project or programme is being implemented and how effectively it is impacting upon its target audience. Qualitative data output will be in a text, audio or picture format, and each answer may be very different from another. This can make collection of data more difficult, and a way of collating data needs to be considered early in the process.

How should it be analysed?
The Quantification of Qualitative Survey Data
Surveys can be analysed by collating the frequency of responses to each of the questions on the survey form. This can be done manually using a
“frequency table”, which can be easily set up on an Excel spreadsheet to
analyse descriptive statistics.

QSR NUD*IST and NVIVO are qualitative data analysis packages, which
enable non-statistical information from interviews, group work, observa-
tions, audio, video, pictures or documents to be analysed according
to chosen criteria. For example, it is possible to use the package to ‘pull
out’ all material relating to key words or phrases (e.g. neighbourhood
renewal) and then sub-divide the data into more specific areas of analy-
sis (e.g. statement of use, problems, projects). This is a powerful piece
of software that can provide clarity to wide range of often complicated
written or media materials.

Case study: Using surveys to evaluate a project

A programme targeted on helping young people back into work through training wants
to evaluate how well it is achieving its objectives. It uses a survey to canvas the views
of young people who have been on the programme to date. The survey asks them closed
questions about what training they have attended and how useful they have found the
training (on a scale of 1:4). The survey also uses open questions to ask young people
about what their plans are for the future as a result of the training (i.e. has it helped
them to consider applying for full time work? Or further education opportunities?). The
qualitative data is analysed and this shows that the young people have gained in confi-
dence, are looking to go into further education or training or have already secured job
interviews in a range of occupational fields, however there is a distinct focus on work in
the field of construction.

The results of the survey are analysed and this provides conclusions about overall success
of the programme, which allows the programme manager to draw conclusions and con-
sider design issues for making the programme more effective in the future.

Further Reading

See Question Bank for details of question design -
http://qb.soc.surrey.ac.uk/

Computer Assisted Qualitative Data Analysis (CAQDA) –
http://caqdas.soc.surrey.ac.uk/ - provides practical support, training
and information in the use of a range of software programs designed
to assist qualitative data analysis. Also provides various platforms for
debate concerning the methodological and epistemological issues aris-
ing from the use of such software packages.

Research Observatory, University of the West of England -
http://ro.uwe.ac.uk/RenderPages/RenderHomePage.aspx - the site is
divided into topic areas with each topic area containing a number of
learning units and a collection of resources about a particular subject
related to research.

Interviews

What is the method?

One of the most popular and frequently used methods of gathering
information from people about anything is by interviewing them. It is
also the most popular method used within the social sciences. There is
a continuum of formality around interviewing and it covers a multitude
of techniques, from informal “chats” maybe arranged as “vox-pops”
right through to highly structured, formal interviews, taped and tran-
scribed.

The different types and styles of interview elicit very different types of
information. Conducting interviews is an interpersonal process and
as an investigator you must be very aware of your own behaviours and
assumptions in the context. Interviews are not “neutral” social spaces
and you must be respectful and maintain appropriate boundaries at all
times.

What do I need to consider?

Interviews are a qualitative method of research often used to obtain
the interviewees’ perceptions and attitudes to the issues. The key issue
with interviewing is making decisions about who are the key people to talk to and what type of interview are you going to use.

**Interview Style**

There are three clearly identifiable styles of interview - structured, semi-structured and unstructured:

- **Structured** - Follows a set of specific questions, which are worked through systematically. This type of interview is used when the researcher wishes to acquire information where the responses are directly comparable.

- **Semi-structured** - This is a more commonly used interview technique that follows a framework in order to address key themes rather than specific questions. At the same time it allows a certain degree of flexibility for the researcher to respond to the answers of the interviewee and therefore develop the themes and issues as they arise.

- **Unstructured** - This method of interview does not follow any predetermined pattern of questions or themes. Rather, the interviewer will address the issues as they emerge in the interview. The method is useful when the researcher wishes to explore the full breadth of a topic.

**Interview Type**

These are some of the types of interviews:

- **Fact finder** - This type of interview is used to obtain specific information from an interviewee and usually includes structured or standardised interview questions (the wording of the questions and the order in which they are asked is the same). It is used when some information is already known and there is a need to gain a more in-depth insight. An example of when a fact finder interview would be appropriate is when interviewing a project officer as part of an evaluation of their project. Quantitative (or ‘hard’) information is usually already known (such as outputs and funding data), therefore the interview could be used to discover qualitative information that the hard data cannot portray, such as the ‘softer’ outcomes of the project.

- **Idea generator** - In many respects, this type of interview is the opposite of the fact finder interview. It is used when the interviewer has no preconceptions about what might be discovered over the course of the interview and results can be used to set the parameters or framework for the study. Interview questions are loosely structured allowing maximum flexibility to explore a range of issues. Idea generator interviews are usually applied at the start of a research project in order to discover and explore issues from a particular group or community. For example, in order to develop a community cohesion strategy, idea generator interviews may be used to find out what community cohesion means to different groups in the community.

- **Exploratory** - These are the most frequently used type of interview as they are relevant to most types of research project. They are usually conducted with representatives that have a strategic role to play in the research. These types of interview require some degree of prior knowledge about the research subject as they are about testing hypotheses, making connections between other elements of the research, ensuring the strategic fit and progressing the findings of the research forward (e.g. senior officials from a local authority may be interviewed using this method in order to find out future plans and priorities and how they fit in with others’ plans and priorities).

- **Experiential** - This type of interview aims to draw out people’s feelings, perceptions and experiences over a specific period of time (e.g. the duration of a regeneration programme or project). This provides rich, in-depth material about how the subject under investigation has affected an individual’s life on a personal level. Experiential interviews may be used to elicit information from people who have benefited from a community project or who live in an area that has received regeneration monies. Therefore these interviews can map the feelings and impressions that any changes have made and add a ‘story’ to the quantitative or ‘hard’ data.
Pros Cons

**Face to Face**
- People can be very generous with their time and expertise
- Interpersonal dynamics and establishing trust may yield insights
  
Appropriateness of setting
Balance responsibility to your interviewees and needs of investigation

**Telephone**
- In-depth examination of topic possible
- Can do more without travel-time, from your desk
  
Can be time intensive
Less opportunity to establish rapport

---

**When should I use this method?**

Interviews are typically used when seeking the views and opinions of people with a specific perspective. They can be conducted by phone or face to face. They offer particular advantages in terms of acquiring information, which might not otherwise be shared in a group setting.

**What type of data is produced?**

The nature of the data will vary depending on the specific type of interview undertaken by the researcher. Some people prefer to take their own notes, others prefer to tape and transcribe verbatim, a lot depends on the preference of the interviewer.

**How can I analyse and use the data?**

The information obtained from interviews can be used in two key ways:

- **Thematic generation** - identifying and drawing upon common themes across the interviews;
- **Citation** - directly quoting parts of the interview in the main body of the report.

Quotes have to be referenced properly. For example, you may wish to refer to the title of the interviewee in identifying who made the quote (eg project manager). Remember that some information provided during interviews may be confidential. In such cases, you should only refer to the broad theme or argument being made rather than identifying who said it.

**Further reading**

Top tips for interviewing – Research Observatory, University of the West of England
http://ro.uwe.ac.uk/RenderPages(RenderLearningObject.aspx?Context=7&Area=1&Room=3&Constellation=25&LearningObject=124

---

**Discussion groups**

**What is the method?**

Discussion groups (also known as ‘focus’ groups) are an example of a technique imported into social research from market research. They have been widely used in political circles to “road test” policies. A discussion group consists of a number of individuals you invite to discuss their views on a particular topic, typically involving between 6 and 12 people, which is conducted specifically to get a group of people’s views on a subject. Groups can be constructed in order to attempt to recreate demographics.

**When should it be used?**

Discussion groups are best applied when rich, in-depth material from a number of people is required. Being part of a group often creates a more relaxed atmosphere than a one-to-one interview. Therefore, information gathered from discussion groups is often more varied than if participants had been interviewed on a one-to-one basis. Another advantage of using discussion groups, as opposed to one-to-one interviews, is that they provide in-depth information from a number of individuals simultaneously, making it a time effective method of gathering data.
What do I need to consider?

**Practical issues**
Discussion groups usually last one hour or so and include between six to twelve participants. Participant recruitment is very important and can be done through a range of methods, including client contact lists, existing networks and databases, advertising in appropriate public places and via the media, and ‘hanging around’ places asking people to join in. These varied methods of recruitment mean that discussion groups can be targeted at different participants, including groups traditionally considered ‘hard to reach’, such as young people and people from Black and Minority Ethnic (BME) backgrounds. Incentivising participants for their time requires some ethical consideration. However, expenses for travel should always be provided, as well as food and sometimes vouchers or cash payment.

**Decide on the make up of the groups** - identify the key groups and individuals that you need to speak to depending on what you need to find out. Identify the individuals you need to contact. You may know of people directly or you may require the assistance of others to provide you with a ‘route in’ to finding participants (eg project staff, community champions). If this is the case, simply outline what you intend to do and enquire as to who they think the best people to involve in the focus group would be. However, be aware that you should try to attract a range of participants with different views and experiences and that relying on one person to find all of your participants may limit this taking place.

**Arrange a location** - ideally the discussion group should be held in a location that is familiar to the participants, as this familiarity reduces the anxiety of the participant. The next step is to contact all potential participants to invite them to the group, tell them what it is about, and inform them of the time, location etc. Ensure that you have more contact names than you need for the discussion group as some people may not wish to be involved.

**Questions**
The types of questions that could be asked during a discussion group can be similar to interview questions, such as fact-finding, idea generating, exploratory and experiential. The main rationale for choosing to undertake group discussions as opposed to interviews should not be the type of questions you are asking, rather to whom you are asking the questions. Within group discussions having things to show or to demonstrate can really help the discussion as people interact with each other and the stimulus provided.

**Facilitation**
Focus group facilitation is a very specific skill, groups are notoriously susceptible to dynamics and can be quite difficult to “control”, consequently if your requirements or parameters are very tightly defined then a focus group may be inappropriate. Some people find such situations intimidating and do not contribute as much as they would in a one-to-one situation whilst some people may affect the dynamics by dominating proceedings.

- In preparing for the discussion, it is worthwhile having a shortlist of questions, ideas and thoughts on the topic. The list could be useful in starting the discussion and ensuring it flows continuously.
- Ask relevant and open questions so that the discussion has breadth. It is important that the group has a discussion rather than a question and answer session. Therefore try to steer clear of questions that are narrow and can be answered easily without discussion.
- Encourage group interaction and participation. All members of the group should make a contribution to the discussion. Try to avoid just one or two people dominating.
- Pursue, capture and develop emerging issues. A good facilitator should spot issues that are emerging in the discussion and try to get the group to discuss them in more detail.
- Try to ensure that the discussion remains focused on the key themes or issues.

**Recording the discussion**
This can be done either through the use of a tape recorder or by taking notes. Tape recording the discussion is useful in ensuring that no important points are missed and enables the facilitator to focus on
guiding the discussion rather than taking notes. However, you will need to make sure that you have a good quality tape recorder in order to pick-up the group discussion. A good alternative is to have a note-taker sit in on the discussion.

**How should it be used?**
Discussion groups are used when seeking the views, perceptions and opinions of people in an open forum. They are often used when more in-depth information is required than that which can be gained from a questionnaire. Compared with interviews, they can be used when confidentiality is not an issue and where it is felt that participants are more likely to contribute within a group setting rather than on a one-to-one basis. They can often be used to explore issues emerging from other types of research (eg interviews, surveys) in more depth.

**What is the output?**
The discussion group produces qualitative data about thoughts, views, experiences etc.

**How should it be analysed?**
Use the information from interviews to identify the relevant themes that emerge from the discussions to put into your evaluation report. There are also statistical packages that you can use to analyse this type of data including:

- NUD*IST: a qualitative data analysis package which enables non statistical information from interviews, group work, observation etc to be analysed according to chosen criteria. For example, it is possible to use the package to pull out all material relating to key words or phrases (eg neighbourhood renewal). If recorded, you may not need to transcribe the whole discussion but just make relevant notes from the tape. This will enable you to quote directly from the discussion within your evaluation report, remembering to adhere to any issues of confidentiality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>You select and recruit group members</td>
<td>Lack of interest in group could make recruitment difficult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You can control the topic</td>
<td>Participants do not have much to say or some participants dominate discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction between participants may prompt new insights</td>
<td>May be unsuitable for researching sensitive issues</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Further reading**

- **Moderating focus groups** – The national centre for social research provides training courses in moderating or facilitating discussion groups - [http://www.natcen.ac.uk](http://www.natcen.ac.uk)

**Workshops**

**What is the method?**
Workshops are a group-based method of research in which there is an emphasis on activity-based, interactive working. The focus is on everyone participating and undertaking the work. Therefore, when using this type of research technique, the researcher acts as a facilitator, rather than leading the discussion or activity.

**When should it be used?**
There are a variety of reasons why it would be appropriate to hold workshop sessions, including:

- **Raising awareness** (e.g. about a new funding stream and how to apply);
- **Capturing views and information** (e.g. about local service provision);
Building consensus (e.g. to take forward a draft strategy or action plan).

Developing skills and capacity (e.g. on how to implement emerging government policy).

What do I need to consider?

Planning
Workshops need to be well planned, this will often involve establishing the date/time/location of the workshop as early as possible; inviting potential participants to the workshop by letter/email and requesting confirmation of their attendance; distributing background papers and the objectives/required outcomes of the workshop in advance; and preparing practical aids for use in the workshop itself (e.g. photos, maps, flipcharts, presentations, models).

Workshops vary in size according to the nature of the subject, the specific group involved and the required outcomes of the session. Workshops can contain as few as 4 participants and as many as 25. The length of the workshop will vary depending on factors such as the planned activities, the time available and the required outcomes. Workshops can range in duration from one hour to full day sessions. However, it is important to be aware of the time pressures under which people work and to ensure that the scheduling and duration of the workshop(s) is appropriate.

Interactive
The emphasis during workshops is on participation. This can be encouraged through stimulating debate (e.g. posing questions) and encouraging collaborative working (e.g. group activities). A variety of mechanisms can be employed to encourage interaction, including:

- Brainstorming;
- Model making;
- Physical and mental mapping;
- Ranking and prioritisation;
- Drawing and photography;
- Role play.

The techniques selected need to be tailored according to the specific group of participants (e.g. strategic decision makers, project staff, young people) and the outcomes required.

Outcome focused
It is imperative that workshops have clear objectives and are grounded in the required outcomes of the session. Key to the achievement of this are the pre-workshop activities that are undertaken to design, plan and prepare for the workshop itself (see above). The emphasis on outcomes is important for all concerned – it enables a gathering of information, perceptions and responses to contribute to the overall research, whilst enabling participants to understand the focus of the session, which, in turn, allows them to play a full role. If your participants understand your aims for the workshop, then the session is likely to be more productive.

What is the output?
The output of a workshop will be dependant on the types of activities undertaken, but may include flip chart material, drawings and diagrams and lists of factors, possibly ranked. It is important that all materials and notes from the workshop are collated, analysed and fed into the research findings.

How should it be analysed?
QSR International's NUD*IST & NVIVO computer packages enable non-statistical information from group work, observations, audio, video, pictures or documents to be analysed according to chosen criteria. These are powerful pieces of software that can provide clarity to a wide range of often complicated written or media materials (see section on qualitative survey analysis).

Further Reading
Computer Assisted Qualitative Data Analysis (CAQDA) – http://caqdas.soc.surrey.ac.uk/ - provides practical support, training and information in the use of a range of software programs designed to assist qualitative data analysis. Also provides various platforms for debate concerning the methodological and epistemological issues arising from the use of such software packages.

Facilitating Workshops – Practical Tips for Workshop Facilitators, Seeds for Change
Observation

What is observation?
Observation, sometimes referred to as “participant observation” or “ethnography” is the key method of anthropology and in itself can consist of a mix of techniques; informal interviews, direct observation, participation in the life of the group, collective discussions, analyses of personal documents produced within the group, self-analysis, and life-histories, notes, diaries and transcripts are often kept and the observation method can generate a lot of written material which the investigator must synthesize.

Participant observation is usually undertaken over an extended period of time, ranging from several months to many years. An extended research time period means that the researcher will be able to obtain more detailed and accurate information about the people he/she is studying.

When should it be used?
Observation is more appropriate when seeking to uncover:

Observable details
Like daily time allotment. For example, the popular management consultancy technique of the “time and motion study” is a version of observation. The investigator watches the activities and actions of people involved in a process and works out the specific time allocation devoted to every single step, with the objective of improving efficiency by cutting out unnecessary or time consuming steps.

Group dynamics
If the subject of your enquiry is a collective, in this context more likely to be a partnership board or steering group rather than a tribe or sub-culture, then close attention to the dynamics of the interaction between the people involved can be very illuminating. The observation method highlights interpersonal relationships and the investigator can reflect upon social proximity and distance, observe relationships and explore body language and other behaviours.

More hidden details
Like taboo behaviour. Observation can be effective in exploring or exposing secrets or the underlying realities of situations, researchers can discover discrepancies between what participants say – and often believe – should happen (the formal system) and what actually does happen, or between different aspects of the formal system; in contrast, a one-time survey of people’s answers to a set of questions might be quite consistent, but is less likely to show conflicts between different aspects of the social system or between conscious representations and behavior.

What do I need to consider?
Observation as part of a mixed methods approach
Observation may be appropriate as a part of your research strategy but it is unlikely that it would “stand alone” in the research contexts that we have been describing. It is also worth remembering that it relies very heavily upon the judgements, assumptions and prior knowledge and experience of the observer themselves.

Reliability vs Validity
Participant observation (whether overt or covert) is not the most reliable research method. Such studies, by their very nature, are impossible to repeat and reliability can be further questioned in terms of the extent to which the presence of the observer actually changes the behaviour of those being studied. As soon as you do or say anything at all, you have slipped from the role of observer to participant, this boundary can be very hard to maintain.

Participant observers study people in their natural environment, gaining a depth of insight into behaviour that comes not simply from close, detailed, observation but also from the researcher’s own experiences within the group being studied – a technique that provides first hand insights into why people behave as they do. Participant observation does not prejudice issues and events (in the way a questionnaire may, for example) and, for these reasons it is possible to argue that such a method provides data that has a high level of validity.

Skills required
Participant observation requires a great deal of skill and commitment from the researcher. The success or failure of the research will hinge
on such factors as the ability to fit-in with the people being studied and the ability to communicate with groups members on their level and terms. It will also, at different times, require tact, clear and careful observation, the ability to separate the role of participant from that of observer and so forth. In other words, before committing yourself to participant observation you need to be certain you have the time, resources and skills required to carry this type of research.

What is produced?
A key feature of participant observation is that data should be collected in ways that cause as little disruption as possible to the ordinary activities of the research context. The recording of information largely depends on the research situation. Fieldnotes are generally kept and sometimes it is possible to use tape recorders and video recorders. Whichever methods of recording information are used it is important to be detailed and to devise a system that allows easy retrieval of information.

How should the data be analysed?
Analysis and interpretation of data is undertaken in a similar way to analysing and interpreting data gathered by other qualitative research methods, as detailed in other sections of this handbook.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observation</td>
<td>Deep and nuanced picture can emerge</td>
<td>Relies on observer to read social reality “accurately”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A flexible method that can react to events / ideas, follow leads, pursue avenues of research that had not been considered</td>
<td>Hard to maintain observer role</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gives a researcher insights into individual and group behaviour and it may allow them to formulate hypotheses that explain such behaviour</td>
<td>Can need a significant time period</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further reading
Collecting data through observation, Web Centre for Social Research Methods - http://www.socialresearchmethods.net/tutorial/Brown/lauratp.htm - Understand the advantages and disadvantages of observational research compared to other research methods.

Visual techniques
What is the method?
Visual techniques in social research offer an interesting, stimulating and interactive approach to gathering information. They are appropriate in a variety of situations, as they fulfil numerous functions. Visual methods such as drawing, painting, video, photography and hypermedia offer increasingly accessible and popular resources for research.

Types of visual research that you might want to consider:

Cartoon test – presented with a picture of a cartoon depicting a specific situation, the consultees are then asked what they think the character would do, say or think in response to another character.

Completion technique – using the cartoon test above, the character is shown thinking or saying something but the sentence is left uncompleted. Participants are given the opportunity to make suggestions to complete the sentence.

Collage/concept boards – uses a range of images that can be used to represent or describe the subject for discussion (e.g. services, project, issues etc). In this way, the participants can identify the subject with a range of feelings and moods. There are two ways to approach this technique; either the participants respond to prepared boards or they construct their own collage or concept boards.
Ideas board – this board invites participants to jot down their ideas on post-it notes and add them to the board which is grouped by theme.

Mind mapping – visually representing information in an interesting format without the limits or formality of standard written text. The open flowing format appears to support the natural thinking process, which is thought to go on randomly and in a nonlinear way.

Money well – an interesting way of asking participants to prioritise future actions or developments. Participants are given a certain amount of fake money, which they can place on the options displayed.

Graffiti or ideas wall – a strip of paper is hung on the wall accompanied by shapes, such as speech bubbles. The participants are able to write comments about a research topic or discussion statement.

Photographic research – consultees are either provided with a series of photographs by the researchers or given a disposable camera or mobile phone and asked to take their own. Depending on the nature of the research project, these photos might include depictions of the local physical environment and/or reflect how consultees view their community, including what they like and dislike about it. The use of photographs in research can be used to evoke feelings or trigger memories that lead to perspectives and explanations that would not have been unlocked using a more conventional research technique.

Film and video - video cameras are particularly well suited as data gathering technologies for ethnography, participant observation, oral history, life history, etc, preserving things that are not preserved in even the best researchers’ field notes. Similarly, tape recordings preserve audible data not available in even the most carefully annotated transcripts.

When should it be used?
Deciding to conduct visual research will be dependant upon the type and scale of the research that you are undertaking. It may be appropri-ate to conduct this type of work at the start of the research process as a way of highlighting issues to be examined further during the course of the process, or it may be something that is developed as part of a blend of evaluation methodologies. Visual techniques can be used in many settings, as an alternative to more traditional methods and may be particularly useful as:

- A method for effectively engaging hard to reach groups within areas (e.g. young people). Pictures and photographs can help evoke opinions and allow the use of imagination in expanding on a scene.

- Offering an alternative to traditional discussion groups, yet still being able to draw out the rich variety of qualitative information from participants.

- For use within workshops, providing a task based activity to get members of a group working and thinking together.

- A method of producing tangible outcomes at the end of the research process (e.g. series of community generated impacts illustrating how local people view the local area).

What do I need to consider?

- Consider who should be involved in this type of visual research and that the technique is appropriate for the type of audience you are seeking ideas and opinions from.

- Ensure that research is conducted in a neutral venue where people will feel comfortable and able to share their opinion freely.

- Think about how the visual research will link into the rest of the methodology and how you will use it to inform the evaluation process.

- If generating photographic or film media as part of the research, those people photographed and filmed need to give their consent for their images to be used for the purposes of research.
How should it be analysed?

The interesting thing about data produced through visual research is that you produce visual data, which can be used to illustrate your research and provide a very immediate and real way of demonstrating how a project or programme has impacted upon local people and communities.

For a more detailed analysis of visual materials, QSR NVivo and Xsight are qualitative research software programs that help to manage, shape and make sense of unstructured information produced by visual techniques. These programmes have purpose built tools for classifying, sorting and arranging information and the software allows you to analyse visual data and discover patterns, identify themes, glean insight and develop meaningful conclusions. Transana is an alternative, inexpensive and open source software package for professional researchers who want to analyse digital video or audio data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Easy and inexpensive (bar perhaps video)</td>
<td>Potentially intrusive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interesting and engaging, combats consultation fatigue</td>
<td>Data protection issues need to be considered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can help engage groups where written or verbal skills may not be advanced e.g. young people.</td>
<td>May build unrealistic expectations among participants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further Reading

http://www.education.leeds.ac.uk/research/visual-methods/

**Choosing a CAQDAS Package** - A working paper by Ann Lewins & Christina Silver,  
http://caqdas.soc.surrey.ac.uk/choosinglewins&silverv5july06.pdf

**QSR International** have tutorial videos online which allow you to explore the functionality of the programs.  
http://www.qsrinternational.com/products_nvivo.aspx  

**Transana**, http://www.transana.org/ - lets you analyse and manage visual data in very sophisticated ways. Transcribe it, identify analytically interesting clips, assign keywords to clips, arrange and rearrange clips, create complex collections of interrelated clips, explore relationships between applied keywords, and share your analysis with colleagues. Can be downloaded for $50.

**Visualising Ethnography**, Loughborough University – Useful website providing a series of short introductions to the use of different visual methods and media. 
http://www.lboro.ac.uk/departments/ss/visualising_ethnography/index.html
Within this guide we have tried to be as practical and clear as possible about the reality of carrying out social research, using the variety of methods described. By concentrating on such practical considerations as appropriateness and the pros and cons of each method we have aimed to avoid getting too “bogged down” in the more philosophical issues which underpin the research endeavour.

However it is important to address these issues in this section and to have some links for further reading.

If you agree that carrying out research has a role to play in constructing reality then it is very important that you aim to do this as accurately and sensitively as possible.

**Ethics**

There are many ethical dilemmas associated with the practice of social research. The Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) which funds the majority of the public-funded social research has an excellent Research Ethics Framework (REF – see further reading) which is designed to guide the researcher through any ethical issues they may face.

There are six key principles of ethical social research:

- Research should be designed, reviewed and undertaken to ensure integrity and quality;
- Research staff and subjects must be informed fully about the purpose, methods and intended possible uses of the research, what their participation in the research entails and what risks, if any, are involved;
- The confidentiality of information supplied by research subjects and the anonymity of respondents must be respected;
- Research participants must participate in a voluntary way, free from any coercion;
- Harm to research participants must be avoided;
- The independence of research must be clear, and any conflicts of interest or partiality must be explicit.
Responsibility
Everyone involved in a research project or process is responsible for maintaining good ethical standards. It is also good practice within project teams for there to be a space for ethical issues to be aired.

Position
As in any social encounter, a lot rests on how you present yourself. Social research occupies a slightly odd position within human interaction and relies upon the good will of the participants in the research. Broadly, people are quite amazingly generous with their time and expertise when asked to participate in research and must agree freely to participate. Meanwhile, as a researcher you also have responsibilities to funders, deadlines or to your manager or colleagues. Recent research from linguistics suggests that whilst researchers approach their subjects with formality and are professional and businesslike their informants behave more like friends or intimates. This is because it is flattering to have your opinions sought out and explored and people generally like to have their views considered.

It is up to the researcher to maintain appropriate boundaries in the research encounter and to seek to represent the views of their informants faithfully.

Further reading
ESRC Research Ethics Framework
http://www.esrc.ac.uk/ESRCInfoCentre/Images/ESRC_Re_Ethics_Frame_tcm6-11291.pdf

Glossary

A

Action Research
Action research is “learning by doing” - a group of people identify a problem, do something to resolve it, see how successful their efforts were, and if not satisfied, try again. Action research is known by many other names, including participatory research, collaborative inquiry, emancipatory research, action learning, and contextual action research, but all are variations on a theme.

Attrition
A reduction in the number of participants during the course of a study. If more participants withdraw from one group than another group, this can introduce bias and threaten the internal validity of the research.

Attribution
The association or disassociation of a particular attribute with a particular population unit.

B

Bias
A loss of balance and accuracy in the use of research methods. It can creep into research via sampling, while interviewing, in the design of questions, or in the way data are analysed and presented. Bias means that the research findings will not be representative of, or generalisable to, a wider population.

Biographical Research
Primarily qualitative, and includes gathering/ using data in the form of diaries, stories and life histories.

C

Categorical variable
A variable with discrete values (e.g. a person’s gender or a person’s marital status).

Causal relationship
A relationship where variation in one variable causes variation in another.
Chi-square
Chi-square is a family of distributions commonly used for significance testing. The most common variants are the Pearson chi-square test and the likelihood ratio chi-square test.

Coded data (coding)
Refers to a way of recording material at data collection, either manually or on computer, for analysis. The data are put into groups or categories, such as age groups, and each category is given a code number.

Cohort study
A cohort study is one in which subjects who presently benefit from an activity are followed over time and compared with another group who are not benefiting from the activity or intervention under investigation.

Confidence interval
A confidence interval identifies a range of values that includes the true population value of a particular characteristic at a specified probability level (usually 95%). (See Statistical Analysis).

Confidence level
The confidence level tells you how sure you can be that this inference is correct (See section on Statistical Analysis).

Construct
Something that exists theoretically but is not directly observable.

Continuous variable
A variable that can take on an infinite range of values along a specific continuum (e.g. weight, height).

Controlled variables
Researchers may control some variables in order to allow the research to focus on specific variables without being distorted by the impact of the excluded variables.

Correlation coefficient
A measure of the degree of relationship between two variables. A correlation coefficient lies between +1 (indicating a perfect positive relationship), through to 0 (indicating no relationship between two variables) to -1.0 (a perfect negative relationship). (See Statistical Analysis section for more details).

Cross-tabulating
The process of analysing data according to one or more key variables. A common example is to analyse data by the gender of the research subject or respondent, so that you can compare findings for men with findings for women. Also known as cross-referencing. (See Statistical Analysis section for more details).

Cross-sectional research
Cross-sectional research is used to gather information on a population at a single point in time.

Data saturation
The point at which data collection can cease, when data becomes repetitive and contains no new ideas, the researcher can be reasonably confident that the inclusion of additional participants is unlikely to generate any new ideas. (Sometimes simply referred to as saturation.)

Demographics
Information about a population sample that includes data such as age, sex, social class, number of children, etc.

Dependant variables
In a research project which seeks to establish cause and effect between variables, the potential causal variable is known as the independent variable, and the variable(s) where effects are under scrutiny is dependant.

Descriptive statistics
Statistical methods used to describe or summarise data collected from a specific sample (e.g. mean, median, mode, range, standard deviation). (See Statistical Analysis section for more details).

Determinism
The belief that everything is caused by specified factors in a predictable way rather than haphazardly; a key assumption within the positivist paradigm.

Deviation
The difference of a score from the mean.

Discrete variable
A variable which can only have whole numbers (integers).

Emancipatory research
Conducted on and with people from marginalised groups/communities and is conducted largely for the purpose of empowering members of that community and improving services for them.
Empirical research
Research conducted 'in the field', where data are gathered first hand. Case studies and surveys are examples of empirical research.

Ethnography
Uses fieldwork to provide a descriptive study of human societies.

Evaluation
A form of research used to assess the value or effectiveness of social care interventions or programmes.

Experimental group
The group that receives the treatment is called the experimental group and the other group is called the control group.

Extraneous variables
These are variables that influence the outcome of research, though they are not the variables that are actually of interest. These variables are undesirable because they add error to an analysis.

Facilitator
A facilitator is someone who skillfully helps a group of people understand their common objectives and assists them to plan to achieve them without taking a particular position in the discussion.

Factor
Anything that contributes causally to a result; "a number of factors determined the outcome".

Feminist research
Research into the relationship and understanding of the social constructions of gender.

Filter
When only a section of the total sample are required to answer the question.

Frequency distribution
A visual display of numerical values ranging from the lowest to the highest, showing the number of times (frequency) each value occurs.

Frequency tables
A set of data, which provides a count of the number of occasions on which a particular answer/response has been given across all of those respondents who answered the question.

Gaussian distribution
A theoretical frequency distribution for a set of variable data, usually represented by a bell-shaped curve symmetrical about the mean. Statisticians and mathematicians uniformly use the term "normal distribution" while physicists sometimes call it a Gaussian distribution.

Generalisable
In technical use, has a meaning of how results from a sample can be generalised to a greater or lesser extent according to the outcome of statistical tests of significance.

Hard data
Precise data, like dates of birth or income levels, which can reasonably be subjected to precise forms of analysis, such as statistical testing.

Hypothesis
A theory or prediction made about the relationship between two variables.

Independent variables
The causal variable is known as the independent variable, and the variable(s) where effects are under scrutiny are dependent variables.

Inference
The reasoning involved in drawing a conclusion or making a logical judgment.

Inferential statistics
Statistics that allow a researcher to make inferences about whether relationships observed in a sample are likely to occur in the wider population from which that sample was drawn.

Informed consent
The process of obtaining voluntary participation of individuals in research based on a full understanding of the possible benefits and risks.

Interval level
See confidence level.

Interval variable
An interval variable is similar to an ordinal variable, except that the intervals between the values of the interval variable are equally spaced.
Likert scale
A method used to measure attitudes, which involves respondents indicating their degree of agreement or disagreement with a series of statements. Scores are summed to give a composite measure of attitudes.

Literature review
Brings together a range of information on a topic to develop an awareness of the current state of knowledge in the subject. It is commonly used to set the scene for introducing new research or a new perspective on the research.

Longitudinal research
A research process, which is repeated on several occasions over a period of time, as far as possible replicating the chosen methodology each time. The key aim of such research is to monitor changes over time.

Macro
A macro is a rule or pattern that specifies how a certain input sequence (often a sequence of characters) should be mapped to an output sequence (also often a sequence of characters) according to a defined procedure. Used in computer programs to conduct repetitive tasks.

Mean
The average of your sample, computed by taking the sum of the individual scores and dividing them by the total number of individuals. \( \frac{2,6,9,32,74}{5} = 24.6 \).

Median
If you rank the observations according to size, the median is the observation that divides the list into equal halves. \( \frac{2,6,9,32,74}{5} = 9 \).

Method/Methodology
While ‘method’ describes what you as a researcher have done, methodology is about your reasons for doing it.

Meta-analysis
A statistical technique for combining and integrating the data derived from a number of experimental studies undertaken on a specific topic.

Mode
The observation that occurs most frequently.

Multivariate analysis
Techniques used to analyse data that arises from more than one variable.

Naturalistic paradigm
This paradigm assumes that there are multiple interpretations of reality and that the goal of researchers working within this perspective is to understand how individuals construct their own reality within their social context.

Nominal scale
A nominal scale is one that allows the researcher to assign subjects to certain categories or groups. For example, with variable of gender, respondents can be grouped into two categories male and female. These two groups can be assigned code numbers 0 and 1.

Normal distribution
A theoretical frequency distribution for a set of variable data, usually represented by a bell-shaped curve symmetrical about the mean. Statisticians and mathematicians uniformly use the term “normal distribution” while physicists sometimes call it a Gaussian distribution.

Null Hypothesis
The prediction that there is no relationship between your treatment and your outcome.

Ordinal Variable
Variables with an ordered series, e.g. “very poor, poor, no opinion, good, very good”. Numbers assigned to such variables indicate rank order only, the “distance” between the numbers has no meaning.

Panel studies
Panel studies measure the same sample of respondents at different points in time.

Paradigm
A philosophical and theoretical framework of a scientific school or discipline within which theories, laws, and generalisations and the experiments performed in support of them are formulated.

Parameter
A quantity (such as the mean or variance) that characterises a statistical population and that can be estimated by calculations from sample data.
**Phenomenology**
A research methodology which has its roots in philosophy and which focuses on the lived experience of individuals.

**Population**
See research population

**Positivism**
A paradigm that assumes human behaviour is determined by external stimuli and that it is possible to use the principles and methods traditionally employed by the natural scientist to observe and measure social phenomena.

**Predictive research**
Concerned with identifying indicators of future behaviour or demand in a population on the basis of the current behaviour and demands of a sample. Predictive techniques use a number of statistical approaches.

**Primary source**
A primary source is a document, speech, or other sort of evidence written, created or otherwise produced during the time under study.

**Qualitative**
Concerned with a quality of information, qualitative methods attempt to gain an understanding of the underlying reasons and motivations for actions and establish how people interpret their experiences and the world around them. Qualitative methods provide insights into the setting of a problem, generating ideas and/or hypotheses.

**Quantitative**
As the name suggests, is concerned with trying to quantify things; it asks questions such as ‘how long’ or ‘how many’. Quantitative methods look to quantify data and generalise results from a sample of the population of interest. They may look to measure the incidence of various views and opinions in a chosen sample, for example.

**Random sample**
A sample of a population where each member of the population has an equal chance of being in the sample.

**Range**
A measure of variability indicating the difference between the highest and lowest values in a distribution of scores.

**Ratio scale**
Ratio scales are like interval scales except they have a zero point. A good example is height or temperature. These have a scale with an absolute zero. Thus, a height of 2 metres is twice as high as a height of 1 metre.

**References**
A reference is a formal system for drawing attention to a literature source, usually published, both in the report itself and in the bibliography or reading list at the end of the report. There are two main methods of referencing articles in journal and book publications. These are known as the Harvard (author-date) and Vancouver (author-number) reference systems.

**Reliability**
The extent to which the same result will be repeated/achieved by using the same measure.

**Research plan**
This is the researcher’s guidebook for the project, and the yardstick against which the various stages of progress can be judged. It states the outputs to be delivered and the timescale.

**Research population**
The total number of potential subjects for your research.

**Respondent**
An individual or organisation that responds to research questions.

**Response rate**
The proportion of people asked to take part in research who actually take part.

**Sampling**
The process by which you reduce the total research population for a research project to a number which is practically feasible and theoretically acceptable (the sample).

**Sampling: non random**
Non random sampling means that the principle of randomness has not been maintained in the selection of a sample. Often it involves struc-
tured sampling whereby the sample group is carefully matched to the overall population on key variables.

**Sampling: random**
Each individual is chosen entirely by chance and each member of the population has a known, but possibly non-equal, chance of being included in the sample.

**Sampling: simple random sampling**
Each individual is chosen entirely by chance and each member of the population has an equal chance of being included in the sample.

**Sampling: stratified sampling**
A stratified sample is obtained by taking samples from each stratum or sub-group of a population.

**Sampling frame**
The listing of the accessible population from which you’ll draw your sample is called the sampling frame.

**Secondary source**
A secondary data source is that collected by other people, so for example the Census.

**Significance level**
A significance level indicates the probability that an observed difference or relationship would be found by chance.

**Soft data**
A characteristic of qualitative research. Data such as people’s ideas and opinions.

**Stakeholders**
People with an interest in the research being undertaken. For example, if the research is about an imitative which has occurred at a particular geographic level, then the corresponding level of governance would be a stakeholder e.g. a local authority or a regional development agency.

**Standard deviation**
A descriptive statistic used to measure the degree of variability within a set of scores.

**Statistical analysis**
Statistical analysis refers to a collection of methods used to process large amounts of data and report overall trends.

**Statistical significance**
Tests of statistical significance, of which the best known is probably the Chi-square, which is a measure of probability. Where a research sample has been used, it is important to know, whether the findings are valid or came about by chance.

**Statistical tests**
See section on statistical analysis for a description of the most common statistical tests.

**Survey design**
Survey design covers the definition of all aspects of a survey from the establishment of a need for data to the production of final outputs.

**T**

**Tabulations**
A set of data, which provides a count of the number of occasions on which a particular answer/response has been given across all of those respondents who tackled the question.

**Textual analysis**
Used in analysis of secondary source data and also in qualitative research. It involves working on a text in depth, looking for keywords and concepts and making links between them. The term also extends to literature reviewing. Increasingly, much textual analysis is done using computer programs such as NVivo, ATLAS.ti, NU*DIST.

**Trend studies**
Trend studies establish a pattern over time to detect shifts and changes and are valuable in describing long-term changes in a population.

**Triangulation**
A multi-method approach, using different methods in order to focus on the research topic from different viewpoints and to produce a multi-faceted set of data. Also used to check the validity of findings from any one method.

**Type I Error**
Rejecting the null hypothesis when it is true.

**Type II Error**
Accepting the null hypothesis when it is false.

**U**

**Universe**
The term universe is used to denote whatever body of people is being studied.
**Validity**
Concerns the extent to which your research findings can be said to be accurate and reliable, and the extent to which the conclusions are warranted.

**Variable**
Any factor, which may be relevant to a research study. For example the age and gender of respondents would be variables. See also Standard Variables, Dependent/Independent variables, and Controlling variables.

**Variation (variance)**
A measure of the spread of the variable, usually used to describe the deviation from a central value (e.g., the mean).

**Weighting**
The process of weighting involves emphasising some aspects of a phenomenon, or of a set of data – giving them ‘more weight’ in the final effect or result.